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Consumer sentiment is influenced by expected changes in economic policies, which play an essential role in presidential campaigns. The surveys have traditionally asked about which candidate consumers thought would actually win the election, not for which candidate they intended to vote or preferred. The most recent surveys indicate that slightly more consumers anticipate a Biden than a Trump win ( $49 \%$ vs. $46 \%$ ). Other questions were asked about which candidate would be better for the economy and for their own finances. Trump held the lead on the economy ( $37 \%$ vs. $33 \%$ ), with $29 \%$ who thought both would perform equally. On personal finances, Trump led Biden ( $33 \%$ vs. $25 \%$ ), but the most common reply was that it would make no difference (42\%). As shown in the table
 below, aside from the anticipated alignment by party identification, significant differences existed for education, with a college degree or above expecting a Biden victory, and across incomes, with the highest income groups more likely to expect a Biden win. The Trump advantage on the economy was reflected among those older and with less formal education, although Democrats were much more likely to report no difference between the candidates on the economy. For personal finances, only the youngest and poorest consumers favored Biden over Trump. The major finding, however, was that in nearly all subgroups more consumers thought it would make no difference to their personal finances whoever was elected; the exceptions were among the oldest and richest subgroups who gave Trump a slight advantage. Needless to say, the more definitive measures will occur after both conventions and during the campaigns and debates in September and October.
The dramatic shifts in consumers' economic assessments that immediately followed Trump's 2016 win was due to the fact that Trump's victory was unexpected by the vast majority-nearly two-thirds of consumers anticipated a Clinton victory. Moreover, partisanship has played a dominant role in determining the level of consumer optimism since Trump's election. Two predictions emerged several years ago based on my analysis. First, partisanship produces differences in the level of optimism, but time series correlations among subgroups remain extremely high as well as with the corresponding macro economic trends. Second, unlike other stable subgroup differences that have been long observed, for example across age or income groups, the partisan "deck chairs" are likely to shift between optimism and pessimism with changes in the party of the winning candidate. Needless to say, these were pre-pandemic predictions, and it would not be surprising if the coronavirus has altered, at least temporarily, insights based on past trends. Importantly, preference among Independents is critical in determining the winner, and their assessments have remained equal to the average across Democrats and Republicans.

| Expected Presidential Election Results and Best Candidate for Economy and Personal Finances (July - August(p) data; "Don't knows" percentages are not shown in the table.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expected | of Election | Best Candidate for Economy |  |  | Best Candidate for Personal Finances |  |  |
|  | Biden | Trump | Biden | Trump | No Difference | Biden | Trump | No Difference |
| All       <br> Party $49 \%$ $46 \%$ $33 \%$ $37 \%$   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Democrat | 84 | 15 | 70 | 3 | 27 | 56 | 5 | 39 |
| Independent | 46 | 47 | 25 | 33 | 41 | 17 | 27 | 55 |
| Republican | 13 | 85 | 2 | 87 | 11 | 2 | 75 | 23 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18-34 | 51 | 46 | 39 | 27 | 33 | 30 | 22 | 47 |
| 35-44 | 47 | 46 | 25 | 31 | 44 | 16 | 30 | 54 |
| 45-54 | 47 | 43 | 38 | 38 | 20 | 26 | 35 | 37 |
| 55-64 | 46 | 50 | 29 | 45 | 26 | 23 | 40 | 37 |
| 65 or older | 53 | 44 | 33 | 44 | 22 | 27 | 37 | 36 |
| Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High sch or less | 38 | 58 | 22 | 41 | 37 | 16 | 32 | 51 |
| Some college | 40 | 57 | 27 | 43 | 30 | 22 | 34 | 44 |
| College degree | 56 | 39 | 39 | 37 | 23 | 29 | 34 | 36 |
| Advanced degree | 59 | 32 | 41 | 29 | 28 | 29 | 29 | 40 |
| Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bottom 20\% | 44 | 50 | 29 | 32 | 37 | 23 | 20 | 56 |
| Second 20\% | 46 | 49 | 31 | 38 | 30 | 26 | 34 | 39 |
| Middle 20\% | 52 | 45 | 36 | 36 | 28 | 25 | 31 | 42 |
| Fourth 20\% | 48 | 49 | 32 | 40 | 26 | 25 | 37 | 37 |
| Top 20\% | 60 | 34 | 41 | 36 | 23 | 28 | 37 | 34 |
| Top 10\% | 64 | 30 | 50 | 30 | 20 | 32 | 36 | 31 |
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